

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/714,781	Applicant(s) LOOSMORE ET AL.	
	Examiner Mary E. Mosher	Art Unit 1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary E. Mosher.

(3) Judith Jarecki-Black.

(2) Tom Kowalski.

(4) Deborah Lu; John Ezcurra.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chang & Paoletti references cited in last action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed remaining 103 rejection, possible unexpected results, possible evidence of commercial success. Applicants will file response documenting the same.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mary E Mosher/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648